

Paul was never saved by "faith based contrition" (that he invented). If we were all personally chastised by Jesus, we all would be saved.

And look who Jesus supposedly picks as the "new" apostle, a scumbag persecutor of christians and a liar. Why didn't Jesus just give Paul a bad case of leprosy and give all of his "new" insights from god to one of the existing apostles? Paul just self appointed himself as an apostle.

**So needless to say Paul was a big fat liar!! Before you give me any shit about this, here are the facts:**

Paul was never married and he lied about being in the Sanhedrin. Through out the Scriptures it is clearly evident that the elders were governing body of society. At a national level, the group of elders called the Sanhedrin ruled over all. Young men didn't have a voice or vote on issues and decisions affecting that society. Back in the times of Stephen's martyrdom, Paul, whose name at that time was Saul, **was reputed as a young fellow**. We come to know this in Acts 7: 58, where he is called **a young fellow**. "And cast him out of the city, and stoned him, and the witnesses laid down their clothes at a **young man's feet, whose name was Saul.**" ( Acts 7: 58 ) **The Sanhedrin was composed by elders**, mature, aged men. **It is not logical** to think that a youngster, as was Paul at that time, could belong to such a high level governing body. In an epoch of patriarchal dominance, an era when the young individuals kept quiet when an older one was talking, **it is not reasonable to think that Paul belonged to the Sanhedrin.**

The same reasoning may be followed to get to the conclusion that he was not a rabbi. Perhaps he was studying to become one, **but he was not a 30 years old, a requirement for rabbis at the time**, as I understand. Even Jesus didn't start his ministry until he was thirty years old.

After Stephen's death, being Paul a youngster at the time, he asked permission from the elders of the Sanhedrin to persecute Christians. After this episode, came his conversion in Damascus. **Therefore there was no time for Paul to become an elder, get into the Sanhedrin or become a rabbi.**

## **Paul's lie before the Sanhedrin**

When Paul was arrested in the temple during his last visit to Jerusalem he had to be rescued by the Romans. On the following day the Roman commander allowed Paul to be taken before Ananias the high priest and the Sanhedrin to defend himself against the charges he was up on. During this trial of sorts, Paul makes an interesting claim.

But when Paul perceived that one part were Sadducees and the other Pharisees, he cried out in the council, "Men and brethren, I am a Pharisee, the son of a Pharisee; **concerning the hope and resurrection of the dead I am being judged!**" And when he had said this, a dissension arose between the Pharisees and the Sadducees; and the assembly was divided. Acts 23:6,7 NKJV

This was a divide-and-conquer ploy in which there was not one shred of truth. For Paul to say he was being judged on the issue of the resurrection of the dead was an outright lie. The truth concerning **why** he was arrested is recorded a little earlier in Acts.

...the Jews from Asia, seeing him in the temple, stirred up the whole crowd and laid hands on him, crying out, "Men of Israel, help! This is the man **who teaches all men everywhere against the people, the law, and this place...**" Acts 21:27,28 NKJV

The truth is that Paul was being judged on the matter of bringing to nothing the importance of Israel, the Law of Moses, and the temple. Again, for Paul to claim he was being judged on his view of the resurrection for the purpose of dividing his accusers against each other was a lie.

## **Paul's lie to King Agrippa**

A little later, Paul also lied to King Agrippa in the recounting of his conversion experience on the road to Damascus!

The story of Paul's conversion on the road to Damascus is recorded three separate times in the book of Acts. The first is in chapter 9:3-19 as documented by the author of the book, Luke. The highlights are;

And as he journeyed he came near Damascus, and suddenly a light shone around him from heaven. Then he fell to the ground, and heard a voice saying to him, "Saul Saul, why are you persecuting Me?" And he said, "Who are You, Lord?" And the Lord said, "I am Yeshua, whom you are persecuting, It is hard for you to kick against the goads." So he, trembling and astonished, said, "**Lord, what do You want me to do?**" And the Lord said to him, "**Arise and go into the city, and you will be told what you must do.**"

The second account is in chapter 22:6-15 and here, Luke records Paul's personal account of his experience as given before the angry Jews in Jerusalem. The points of interest here are;

"Now it happened, as I journeyed and came near Damascus at about noon, suddenly a great light from heaven shone around me. And I fell to the ground and heard a voice saying to me, "Saul, Saul, why are you persecuting Me?" So I answered, 'Who are You, Lord?' And he said to me, 'I am Yeshua of Nazareth, Whom you are persecuting.' ...So I said, '**What shall I do, Lord?**' And the Lord said to me, '**Arise and go into Damascus, and there you will be told all things which are appointed for you to do.**'

The third record of Paul's conversion experience is again given by Paul himself in his own defense before King Agrippa in chapter 26:12-19. Now here he says these words;

"While thus occupied, as I journeyed to Damascus with authority and commission from the chief priests, at midday, O king, along the road I saw a light from heaven, brighter than the sun, shining around me and those who journeyed with me. And when we all had fallen to the ground, I heard a voice speaking to me and saying in the Hebrew language, 'Saul, Saul, why are you persecuting Me? It is hard for you to kick against the goads.' So I said, 'Who are You, Lord?' And he said, 'I am Yeshua, whom you are persecuting. **But rise and stand on your feet; for I have appeared to you for this purpose, to make you a minister and a witness both of the things which you have seen and of the things which I will yet reveal to you. I will deliver you from the Jewish people, as well as from the Gentiles, to whom I now send you, to open their eyes and to turn them from the power of Satan to God, that they may receive forgiveness of sins and an inheritance among those who are sanctified by faith in Me.**'

Therefore, King Agrippa, I was not disobedient to the heavenly vision..."

Hey, wait just a minute Paul! According to what you and Luke previously testified, when you asked Yeshua what you were to do, he told you to do absolutely nothing other than to go to Damascus, and **there** you would be told "**all things**" you were to do! Now you want us to believe Yeshua told you all of this on the spot?

**The Real question you should be asking yourself is: again!: if god is omniscient he would of conceived this plan at the beginning of time. Why didn't Jesus directly inform the original 12 apostles of the unification jews and gentiles. Why is the credibility of a 20 something liar having a vision (seizure) 2000 years ago so unimpeachable compared to the rantings of a homeless person today?**

This is not just a simple case of information having been left out of the first two accounts making it a weak argument from absence. In Paul's case, if in fact Yeshua had actually come out and said anything like, "Here is the reason why I have appeared to you...", what Yeshua said immediately following this would without question be the focal point and highlight of any-and-every recollection of the encounter! Is that not a perfectly logical and reasonable expectation? But nothing of the sort is found in the first two accounts. And what's more, when Paul asked, Yeshua clearly told him that he was to go to Damascus where he would be told "all things" he was to do.

It should be apparent that Paul wanted to paint a picture for King Agrippa of what he believed to be his unavoidable destiny. So he then went on to embellish his account of his vision with a lie. The part of his story above in bold print is a total fabrication... sounding far more like something Paul would say than something Yeshua would say anyway. The main purpose for Yeshua confronting Paul is obvious and found in his first words: "Why are you persecuting me?" Yeshua's purpose was to **stop the persecution!** The fact that Paul didn't reject Yeshua but submitted to him with the words, "What would You have me do?" is a secondary outgrowth of the event. Had Paul stubbornly tried to continue on his way to Damascus to arrest the Messianic believers, I thoroughly believe it would have been the end of him on the spot. The scene is very reminiscent of Balaam being stopped by the Angel of Lord because he intended to curse Israel. See Numbers 22:22-34

Also, from Paul's fabricated story, it appears that he designed it to subtly impress upon King Agrippa's mind the picture that it was his destiny to be delivered from the Jews as was already the case thanks the Gentiles. So next, it would be King Agrippa himself who should deliver him from the Gentiles as well. But it was one big fat lie! From that time on, Paul never was delivered from the Gentiles.

A little later in the story, Festus and Agrippa mock Paul (Acts 26:24,28) and come to the conclusion that Paul was little more than a harmless crackpot. This is when Paul opts for making an appeal to Caesar for justice in the matter. Christianity has generally thought of Paul's appeal to Caesar as a brilliant tactical move. But one quote is conveniently overlooked. It is recorded that King Agrippa said to Festus, "*This man might have been set free if he had not appealed to Caesar.*" Acts 26:32

## Pauls Contridicts Jesus! and himself

Anti-Israel:

"All who rely on works of the law are under a curse" (Galatians 3:10).

"No one is justified before God by the law" (Galatians 3:11).

"

For [some manuscripts add 'in Christ Jesus'] neither circumcision counts for anything, nor uncircumcision, but a new creation is everything!" (Galatians 6:15).

"No human being will be justified in his [God's] sight by works of the law, since through the law comes knowledge of sin" (Romans 3:20).

"Israel, who pursued righteousness based on the law, did not succeed in fulfilling that law" (Romans 9:31).

"But their minds were hardened. Indeed, for to this day, when they read the old covenant, that same veil is still there, because only through Christ is it taken away. Yes, to this day, whenever Moses is read a veil lies over their minds" (2 Corinthians 3:14-15).

II. Pro-Israel:

"What is the advantage of the Jew? Or what is the value of circumcision? Much in every way" (Romans 3:1).

"Do we not overthrow the Law by this notion of faith? By no means. On the contrary, we uphold the Law" (Romans 3:31).

"What shall we say? That the Law is sin? By no means" (Romans 7:7).

"Thus the Law is holy, and the commandment is holy and just and good" (Romans 7:12).

"To the Israelites belong the sonship, the glory, the covenants, the giving of the Law, the Temple, and the promises. To them belong the patriarchs and of their race, according to the flesh, is the Christ" (Romans 9:4).

"Has God rejected his people? By no means" (Romans 11:1).

"All Israel will be saved" (Romans 11:26).

"Is the Law then opposed to the promises of God. Certainly not!" (Galatians 3:21).

Paul contradicting Jesus: Paul says:

Rom.13

[12] the night is far gone, the day is at hand.

Jesus says:

Luke.21

[8] Take heed that you are not led astray; for many will come in my name, saying, . . . `The time is at hand! Do not go after them.

Paul says:

Rom.14

[9] For to this end Christ died and lived again, that he might be Lord both of the dead and of the living.

Jesus says:

Luke.20

[38] Now he is not God of the dead, but of the living:

Paul says:

Rom.13

[9] The commandments, "You shall not commit adultery, You shall not kill, You shall not steal, You shall not covet," and any other commandment, are summed up in this sentence, "You shall love your neighbor as yourself.

Jesus says:

Matt.22

[37] And he said to him, "You shall love the Lord your God with all your heart, and with all your soul, and with all your mind.

[38] This is the great and first commandment.

[39] And a second is like it, You shall love your neighbor as yourself.

[40] On these two commandments depend all the law and the prophets. Paul says:  
Rom.9

[15] For he says to Moses, "I will have mercy on whom I have mercy, and I will have compassion on whom I have compassion."

[16] So it depends not upon man's will or exertion, but upon God's mercy.

[18] So then he has mercy upon whomever he wills, and he hardens the heart of whomever he wills.

Jesus says:

Matt.5

[7] Blessed are the merciful, for they shall obtain mercy. 6. On forgiveness of trespasses:

Paul says:

Eph.1

[7] In him we have redemption through his blood, the forgiveness of our trespasses, according to the riches of his grace

Rom.4

[25] who was put to death for our trespasses and raised for our justification.

Jesus says:

Matt.6

[14] For if you forgive men their trespasses, your heavenly Father also will forgive you;

[15] but if you do not forgive men their trespasses, neither will your Father forgive your trespasses. Paul says:

Rom.3

[24] they are justified by his grace as a gift, through the redemption which is in Christ Jesus,

[28] For we hold that a man is justified by faith apart from works of law.

Rom.5

[9] Since, therefore, we are now justified by his blood, much more shall we be saved by him from the wrath of God.

Jesus says:

Matt.12

[37] for by your words you will be justified, and by your words you will be condemned.

Paul says:

Rom.6

[23] For the wages of sin is death, but the free gift of God is eternal life in Christ Jesus our Lord.

There are about 20 more of these, but what does it all boil down to?

In a book full of out and out fiction and lies in which essentially none of the stories can be historically verified and in which a supposedly omniscient super being is constantly changing its mind and contradicting itself, there is only one logical conclusion:

The old testament is Jewish mythology, Jesus thought he was a prophet to the "JEWISH" people, and Paul was just a crazy Johnny come lately that thought up a lot of lies to get Gentiles into Heaven and Basically made up Christianity!

If you want to read more about Paul and the invention of Christianity, Read: [The MythMaker and the Invention of Christianity.](#)